

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	О.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,717		10/31/2003	Krzysztof Nauka	200310817-1	1558
22879	7590	05/23/2005		EXAM	INER
		CKARD COMPAN ), 3404 E. HARMON	PHAM, VAN T		
		L PROPERTY ADM	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT CO	FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400				
				DATE MAIL ED: 05/23/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/698,717	NAUKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	VAN T. PHAM	2653				
The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on the cover sheet with	ī I				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3)  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum s  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repundication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT y will, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	for allowance except for formal matte ice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	• •				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)□ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> are subject to restriction	are withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are	)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	` '				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	g the correction is required if the drawing(s o by the Examiner. Note the attached					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
3. Copies of the certified copies	documents have been received. documents have been received in Ap of the priority documents have been r bnal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948) Paper No(s)/	Mail Date  prmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-35 are drawn to device/method for writing/reading information using a probe onto a storage medium, classified in class 369, subclass 126.
  - II. Claims 36-37 are drawn to method of manufacturing a storage medium, classified in class 264, subclass 1.1+.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different invention I has separate use and mode of writing /reading information to a layer of poled ferroelectric material, while the invention II has use and mode of manufacturing a storage medium.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/698,717 Page 3

Art Unit: 2653

5. In the event group I is elected, the following election of species is made: this group contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

The recording apparatus/method of figure(s):

- a. 6a,
- b. 6b,
- c. 6c
- d. 6d.
- e. 6e,
- f. 6f,
- g. 6g.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are considered generic to all species.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,717 Page 4

Art Unit: 2653

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VAN T. PHAM whose telephone number is 703-305-0639. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached on 703 305 6137. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VP

W. R. YOUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER